Outputs of the CROSSIT SAFER Project: research and cooperation to manage natural hazards.

Flavio Taccaliti, Rolando Rizzolo, Blaž Turk, Janez Furlan, Lorenzo Hofer, Klemen Grilj, Aldo Primiero, Nadia Di Narda, Chiara Vianello, Emanuele Lingua

Flavio Taccaliti Università degli Studi di Padova flavio.taccaliti@unipd.it







CROSSIT SAFER

Cross-border cooperation between Slovenia and Italy for a safer region Čezmejno sodelovanje med Slovenijo in Italijo za varnejšo regijo Cooperazione transfrontaliera tra Slovenia e Italia per una regione più sicura



9 Partners over 2 nations

- 2 Municipalities
- 1 Supra-municipal administration
- 2 Regional Civil Protection bodies
- 2 Municipal Firefighters association
- 1 National Firefighters association
- 1 University







Aim of the Project

To strengthen **cooperation** among **Civil Protection** actors to plan joint solutions for <u>prevention</u>, <u>preparedness and response</u> to **natural emergencies**.

- collaboration between institutions
- harmonisation of procedures
- coordination during emergencies
- joined trainings
- → Natural hazards do not stop at borders





https://www.ita-slo.eu/en/crossit-safer



Challenges of the Project

- Different administrations, at different level
- Languages
- Different customs; different data
- Goodwill, but better formal agreement
- Collaboration mandatory: risky area
- V = densely populated areas $R = V \times H$
 - H= susceptible to natural hazards









Third International Conference on Fire Behaviour and Risk, Alghero (Sardinia, Italy), 3-6 May 2022

wildland fires, too

CROSSIT SAFER and wildland fires

High wildland and interface fire risk in Project area:

- Strong winds (bora, sea/land breeze)
- Built-up vegetation (pine forests, karstic scrubland)
- "Frequent" lightnings ignitions
- Densely populated area, infrastructures
- Campsites in pine forests along coasts







Prevention is better than cure

Both wildland and interface

Improving existing methodologies with:

- Fire simulation (potential hazard)
- LiDAR data (inputs for simulations and mapping)





 $R = V \times H$



Field sampling

- Brown* intersect method
- 9+1 areas (interf.+wild.), ca. 30 plots
- Dry weight of duff, litter, herb. fuel





* Brown, J.K., Oberheu, R.D. and Johnston, C.M., 1982. Handbook for inventorying surface fuels and biomass in the Interior West. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-129. Ogden, UT: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experimental Station. 48 p., 129.





BEHAVEPlus

- Comparison of field data with
 Scott and Burgan's** models
- New fuel models: *Pinus mugo* Turra, *Larix decidua* Mill.

Scott, J.H. and Burgan, R.E., 2005. Standard fire behavior

fuel models: a comprehensive set for use with Rothermel's

surface fire spread model. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-153.

Fort Collins, CO: US Department of Agriculture, Forest

Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 72 p., 153.



Thu, Oct 15, 2020 at 13:06:32





Field sampling

Spatialisation

Statistical coupling with LiDAR

Fire behaviour simulation

↓ Fuel model selection

 \downarrow

 \downarrow

 \downarrow

Third International Conference on Fire Behaviour and Risk, Alghero (Sardinia, Italy), 3-6 May 2022

Ne Calculate Very Configure

BehavePlus 6.0.0



Page

- Regression between field data (Y) and LiDAR metrics (Xi)
- LiDAR metrics with FUSION: percentiles, descriptive statistics, permeability, etc.
- GIS spatialisation (inference)













Fire behaviour simulation: FLAMMAP

Used outputs:

- Rate of spread
- Flame length
- Fireline intensity
- Fire type (crowning)







Wildland fire risk





Interface fire risk





Simulation improvements: custom fuel models

Custom fuel models for FLAMMAP

- **Pinus nigra** J.F.Arnold forests in all areas (WUI, Alps, Karst)
- Other fire-prone formations (mugo, larch, etc.)









Third International Conference on Fire Behaviour and Risk, Alghero (Sardinia, Italy), 3-6 May 2022

Simulation improvements: CBD

Estimate of crown bulk density (CBD)

- 1. Bubble level and DIY stand
- 2. Hemispherical photo with smartphone and clip-on lens
- 3. Georeferenced
- 4. LiDAR data coupling





Simulation improvements: LiDAR identification of buildings

LAS classification (class 6)

Not 100% accuracy

Included in LiDAR dataset





Interface particular scenario: Industrial fire risk





Other activities: Exercises (drills)

13+ exercises, included transboundary and table-top





Other activities: Training



Theoretical and practical

Coming up next \rightarrow **courses** on:

- Satellite data for fire severity
- FLAMMAP for fire hazard







Conclusion

Thanks to the activities, the signature of a

formal protocol of agreement and

new guidelines to map and evaluate natural

hazards, the area will become more secure.



Final meeting and protocol signature Gorizia/Nova Gorica 10 June 2022

https://www.ita-slo.eu/en/crossit-safer









Extra



