

IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN TERRITORIAL COOPERATION GOAL

PART A

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

CCI	2014TC16RFCB036
Title	(Interreg V-A) IT-SI - Italy-Slovenia
Version	2017.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	08/05/2018

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

This Annual Report refers to 2017.

The total ERDF pre-financing received so far by the Programme amount to € 4.383.559,91 (€ 632.998,00 of national funds), of which € 487.062,21 (€70.333,00 of national funds) in 2017.

A new version of the CP was approved during the IV Monitoring Committee on 24/05/2017 and approved by the EC Decision No. C(2017)6247 of 14/09/2017.

The Monitoring Committee (MC) of the Programme Interreg Italy-Slovenia 2014/2020 met 5 times in 2017 and the Managing Authority (MA) launched 6 Written Procedures in order to speed up Programme implementation. Also, the MC's Working Groups established in 2016 met a few times in 2017 to facilitate the MC decision-making (WG on strategic projects, 4 times; WG on risk analysis 1 time). The WG on Evaluation was set up in May 2017.

In 2017 the **assessment process for the first calls for standard project** proposals took place. It was divided into three phases: administrative check, eligibility and quality assessment. For the quality assessment a notice for selection of independent experts (assessors) was published by the MA on the Programme website on 7th November 2016. Completed the administrative and eligibility check on 12th December 2016, the quality assessment of 149 eligible standard project proposals started. This quality phase involved 81 external assessors. The proposal of ranking lists (one per priority axis) was presented to the MC on May but approved in the next MC on June, the 28th, 2017, as some MC members required additional info on projects. Out of the 160 overall applied proposals and 149 eligible, 27 were approved for funding involving 156 total partners.

Following the MC's approval on financed projects, from end-July to the beginning-September 2017, the MA, with the support of the Joint Secretariat (JS), started the pre-contractual checks foreseen by current legislation as prerequisite for signing the subsidy contracts.

Furthermore, on MC mandate, the JS planned individual meetings with the 27 projects Lead Partners in order to clarify the assessors observations and understanding of indicators as well as any other core features of projects to be adjusted before signature of Subsidy Contracts.

The 6th MC in October received two requests (from project proposals EXPAND_CAMAA and LITRAILS) for revision of its decision on IP 6c's ranking list (the decision taken in June was confirmed).

By the end of 2017, 21 subsidy contracts were signed, whereas the rest are to be signed within the beginning of 2018. The contracted ERDF amount for the whole financed standard projects (axes 1 to 4) is € 26.316.581,15, with the specification per each Axis

contained in section 3.1.

With regard to the **strategic projects** procedure, in 2017 the activities were:

- the WG, established in 2016, met four times (30th January, 10th July, 24th August, 22nd September) and succeeded in defining the procedure of selection, finalising themes, as well as in broadly agreeing on the general content of the call and selection criteria;
- The MC in its 3rd meeting (February) approved the ten strategic themes which were published on the Programme website;
- MA/JS/Programme partners followed with a huge exchange and brainstorming on Application Package documents (September-October);
- the process ended in 2017 with launching the 11th Written procedure on the 22nd December for the approval of the Call No 05/2017 for strategic projects and its Application Package.

Other Programme implementation activities were:

- **ITI (Integrated Territorial Investment):** after starting the procedure for approval of ITI projects on Dec 21st 2016, in 2017 the evaluation and approval of the projects were carried out (Feb 2017). Legal basis for correct implementation of projects was prepared (OIB and MA, Mar-Apr 2017) and after the signature of subsidy contract in May 2017, the two projects started. By the end of the year, the first report of expenditures for both ITI projects SALUTE-ZDRASTVO and ISONZO-SOČA were submitted to the IT FLC (Sep 2017);
- **State Aids:** For projects falling under the State Aid Regimes, the new Italian e-National Aid Registry (RNA) opened on August 12nd, 2017 and the uploading of the Programme's action measures and the related calls were started, in order to comply with the new provisions. The Slovene Authority registered in the National Register the *de minimis* aid granted to Slovene partners;
- **E-cohesion:** the front end for e-applications (FEG) used for standard projects was adjusted and improved into the renewed online system for applications (FEG2), for strategic projects. Moreover, a new online system for the project reporting and for data storage and monitoring (GGP monit) was designed for the Programme;
- **Communication activities:** according the Programme Communication Strategy and the 2017 Annual Communication plan, the MA joined the EC day initiative by supporting the organisation and participating in the thematic conference in Rome (21.09.17), which is intended as the Programme main event. Most communication activities in 2017 focused on training for standard projects implementation: 1 Italian FLC event in Udine (8.11.17, attended by over 110 participants), more than 20 JS/LPs face-to-face meetings (over 120 participants) and 2 training sessions for external assessors. Out of 37 events organized in 2017, more than 25 were external and 12 were internal meetings (5 MC, 5 WGs, 2 Comm. Group); In the Programme website: 9.196 users 78.789 pages' views; 50,6 % visitors returned to the website. In Programme twitter profile: 42 Tweets; 2.238 Profile visits; 17.640 Tweet impressions; 74 new followers; 27 mentions; 73 followings.
- **Programme Evaluation:** the Evaluation Plan drafted pursuant to the provisions of Regulation (EU) No. 1303/2013, Articles 56 (1), 114 (1) was approved by the MC through the WP No. 7 (April) and submitted to the EC via SFC2014 on April 19th, 2017. The first Evaluation product (Integrated Evaluation Plan) was approved in May and the first draft of the First Extensive Evaluation Report (second Evaluation product) was released in July and November 2017.

Designation Procedure: with regard to designation procedure and preparation of the report required under Article 124 Reg. (EU) No.1303/2013 and Article 21 Reg. (EU) No.1299/2013, the final version of the Management and Control System Description and relevant documentation about MA, Certifying Authority and Italian FLC was sent to the Audit Authority in Nov. 2017 and beginning-December 2017. On 20 Dec. the MA received the Report and the positive Opinion by the Audit Authority (AA) and the Designation procedure was completed with the notification to the EC on 29 Dec (the notification accepted by the EC without request of information in 2018). No payment claim in no Priority Axis was declared to the EC in 2017 because Designation procedure was concluded in December.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
1	Promoting innovation capacities for a more competitive area	<p>Within the 1st Call for Standard Projects, 62 project proposals were submitted under PA 1. Out of these 62 received project proposals, 2 were ineligible, 1 did not reached the treshold, 51 were in ranking list, 8 projects were financed under this priority axis, covering the Investment priority 1b with 51 project partners. The contracted ERDF amount for these projects is 8.292.299,58 EUR out of 8.892.232,30 EUR as call allocation for this Priority. In Summer 2017, the MA/JS organized a meeting with each Lead Partner of the financed standard projects for Priority Axis 1.</p> <p>See next <u>section 3.2. – tables 1 and 2</u> for output and results indicators on this Priority Axis, for which the figures are the forecast foreseen in <u>selected operations, intended as operations approved for financing by MC.</u></p> <p>In <u>section 3.4. - table 4.</u> within this Priority it is highlighted the involvement of <u>51 project partners, each partner intended as “selected operation”</u> in the meaning used in the framework of SFC-transmission of financial data from which this table 4 automatically springs.</p> <p>With regard to the call for strategic projects, during the 3rd meeting of the MC (22-23/02/2017), the strategic themes for Priority Axis 1 were discussed and approved. The 6th MC (19-20/10/2017) approved the maximum ERDF allocation for each theme, i.e. of 3 M EUR for NANOTECHNOLOGIES and 3 M EUR for CREATIVE INDUSTRY.</p> <p>Regarding <u>table 5</u>, financed projects within PA 1 foresee for intervention field 062 a higher financial amount than the one foreseen by the Programme on the same intervention field.</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
2	Cooperating for implementation of low carbon strategies and action plans	<p>Within the 1st Call for Standard Projects, 13 project proposals were submitted under PA 2. Out of these 13 received project proposals, 1 was ineligible, 7 in ranking list, 5 projects were financed under this priority axis, covering the Investment priority 4e with 28 project partners. The contracted ERDF amount for these projects is 5.388.057,29 EUR out of 5.689.493,00 EUR as call allocation for this Priority. In Summer 2017, the MA/JS organized a meeting with each Lead Partner of the financed standard projects for Priority Axis 2.</p> <p>See next <u>section 3.2. – tables 1 and 2</u> for output and results indicators on this Priority Axis, for which the figures are the forecast foreseen in <u>selected operations, intended as operations approved for financing by MC.</u></p> <p>In <u>section 3.4. - table 4,</u> within this Priority it is highlighted the involvement of <u>28 project partners, each partner intended as “selected operation”</u> in the meaning used in the framework of SFC-transmission of financial data from which this table 4 automatically springs.</p> <p>With regard to the call for strategic projects, during the 3rd meeting of the MC (22-23/02/2017), the strategic themes for Priority Axis 2 were discussed and approved. The 7th MC (29-30/11/2017) approved the maximum ERDF allocation for each theme, i.e. of 2,5 Mio EUR for SECAP and 3,5 Mio EUR for MOBILITY AND INTERMODALITY.</p> <p>Regarding <u>table 5,</u> financed projects within PA 2 foresee for intervention fields 013 and 044 a higher financial amount than the one foreseen by the Programme on the same intervention fields.</p>
3	Protecting and promoting natural and cultural resources	<p>Within the 1st Call for Standard Projects, 63 project proposals were submitted under PA 3: 43 under IP 6c (4 ineligible, 5 not reaching the threshold, 34 ranked, 3 projects financed), 10 under IP 6d (2 ineligible, 8 ranked, 2 projects financed) and 10 under IP 6f (10 ranked, 2 projects financed). 7 projects were approved and financed for an ERDF amount of 6.926.346,92 EUR out of 7.367.838,80 EUR allocated by the call for PA 3. In Summer 2017, the MA/JS organized a meeting with each LP of the financed projects.</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>The ITI project “ISONZO-SOČA” (4.250.000,00 EUR ERDF), under IP 6c, was approved and its contract was signed on 3rd May 2017.</p> <p>See next <u>section 3.2. – tables 1 and 2</u> where the forecast figures are referred to <u>selected operations, intended as operations approved for financing by MC.</u></p> <p>In <u>section 3.4. - table 4</u>, within this PA it is highlighted the involvement of <u>38 project partners</u> (of which 18 PPs on IP 6c, 9 PPs on IP 6d, 10 PPs on IP 6f, all for standard projects and 1 PP on the ITI project), <u>each partner intended as “selected operation”</u> in the meaning used in the framework of SFC-transmission of financial data from which this table 4 automatically springs.</p> <p>With regard to strategic projects, during the 3rd MC (22-23/02/2017), the strategic themes for PA 3 were approved. The 7th MC (29-30/11/2017) approved the maximum ERDF allocation for the three themes under 6c (under 6d and 6f this allocation is set by the Programme), i.e. 2,6 Mio EUR for EXCELLENCE IN TOURISM, 2,5 Mio EUR for 1WW and 2,4 Mio EUR for MINORITIES AND MULTICULTURALITY.</p> <p>Regarding <u>table 5</u>, financed projects within PA 3 foresee for intervention fields 019 and 085 a higher financial amount than the one foreseen by the Programme.</p>
4	Enhancing capacity building and cross-border governance	<p>Within the 1st Call for Standard Projects, 22 project proposals were submitted under PA 4. Out of these 22 received project proposals, 2 were ineligible, 2 did not reached the treshold, 18 in ranking list and 7 projects were financed under this priority axis, covering the Investment priority 11ETC with 40 project partners. The contracted ERDF amount for those projects is 5.709.877,36 EUR out of 6.185.193,70 EUR as call allocation for this Priority. In Summer 2017, the MA/JS organized a meeting with each Lead Partner of the financed standard projects for Priority Axis 4.</p> <p>The ITI project “SALUTE-ZDRAVSTVO” (4.250.000,00 EUR ERDF), under IP11, was approved and its contract was signed on 3rd May 2017.</p> <p>See next <u>section 3.2. – tables 1 and 2</u> for output and results indicators on this Priority Axis, for</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>which the figures are the forecast foreseen in <u>selected operations, intended as operations approved for financing by MC.</u></p> <p>In <u>section 3.4. - table 4,</u> within this PA it is highlighted the involvement of <u>41 project partners</u> (of which 40 project partners for standard projects and 1 project partner on the ITI project), <u>each partner intended as “selected operation”</u> in the meaning used in the framework of SFC-transmission of financial data from which this table 4 automatically springs.</p> <p>With regard to the call for strategic projects, during the 3rd meeting of the MC (22-23/02/2017), the strategic theme for Priority Axis 4 was discussed and approved with an ERDF allocation of 2,5 Mio EUR as stated in the Programme.</p> <p>Regarding <u>table 5,</u> financed projects within PA 3 foresee for intervention fields 055 and 087 a higher financial amount than the one foreseen by the Programme on the same intervention fields.</p>
5	Technical Assistance	<p>The online tool FEG was used to submit standard projects applications (December 2015-June 2016). The JS/MA provided all the necessary support to the potential beneficiaries for correct software solutions. Implemented actions improving the management of the Programme::</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Setting up a network of national/regional authorities/Info point/ financial controllers, coordinated by the JS, for information and best practices exchanging; - Drafting of manuals/guidelines to support applicants/beneficiaries for application/implementation/evaluation/reporting/communication of projects; - Developing and exchange tools (e.g. documents, meetings, events) to strengthen the stakeholders involvement in the Programme implementation. <p>Notwithstanding the first MC in 2016 approved the activities and total costs for TA expenses by Management structures (€ 3.406.820,00, of which ERDF € 2.895.797,00; national cofinancing € 511.023,00), no TA project was approved in 2017. The fifth MC in May approved the number of TA projects and their costs, included their breakdown between ERDF (85%) and national</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>cofinancing (15%): for the TA project referring to MA core activities national cofinancing is equally shared between Italy and Slovenia (7,5% each).</p> <p>See next <u>section 3.2. – tables 1 and 2</u> for output and results indicators on this Priority Axis, for which the figures are the forecast foreseen in <u>selected operations, intended as operations approved for financing by MC.</u></p> <p>In <u>section 3.4. - table 4,</u> within this Priority it is highlighted the involvement of <u>1 project partner</u> (MA), <u>intended as “selected operation”</u> in the meaning used in the framework of SFC-transmission of financial data from which this table 4 automatically springs.</p> <p>The declared eligible TA expenses amount at 365.250,01€.</p>

3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	1 - Promoting innovation capacities for a more competitive area
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	38.00	0,00	
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	38.00	26.00	The figure represents the number of enterprises partner of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) and is the figure adjusted during face-to-face meeting held during summer 2017 with the LP before signature of the Subsidy contract. Also coming strategic projects and a second procedure coming for standard projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator.
F	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	27.00	0,00	
S	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	27.00	24.00	The figure represents the number of research institutions partner of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) and is the figure adjusted during face-to-face meeting held during summer 2017 with the LP before signature of the Subsidy contract. Also coming strategic projects and a second procedure coming for standard projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator.
F	1.1.1	Number of innovative services, products and tools transferred to enterprises	Number	10.00	0,00	
S	1.1.1	Number of innovative services, products and tools transferred to enterprises	Number	10.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen by the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 3.00 (2018) and 19.00 (2023).

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
						Also coming strategic projects and a second procedure coming for standard projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	1.1.1	Number of innovative services, products and tools transferred to enterprises	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	1.1.1	Number of innovative services, products and tools transferred to enterprises	0,00	0,00	0,00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	1 - Promoting innovation capacities for a more competitive area
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies
Specific objective	1.1 - Strengthen the cooperation among key actors to promote the knowledge transfer and innovative activities in key sectors of the area.

Table 1: Result indicators

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
1.1	Level of cross-border cooperation among key actors of the Programme area	Gross value added at basic prices in millions of Euro	6,519.11	2011	7,758.86	6,519.11		

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.1	Level of cross-border cooperation among key actors of the Programme area	6,519.11		6,519.11		6,519.11	

Priority axis	2 - Cooperating for implementation of low carbon strategies and action plans
Investment priority	4e - Promoting low-carbon strategies for all types of territories, in particular for urban areas, including the promotion of sustainable multimodal urban mobility and mitigation-relevant adaptation measures

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	2.1.1	Number of implemented actions towards the decrease of annual primary energy consumption in existing public buildings	Number	25.00	0,00	
S	2.1.1	Number of implemented actions towards the decrease of annual primary energy consumption in existing public buildings	Number	25.00	0.00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen by the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 0 (2018) and 6.00 (2023). Also coming strategic projects (to start their implementation within 2018) will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator. Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard calls in order to correct the eventual gap..
F	2.1.2	Pilot implementation of innovative services for smart low carbon mobility	Number	5.00	0,00	
S	2.1.2	Pilot implementation of innovative services for smart low carbon mobility	Number	5.00	0.00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen by the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 5 (2018) and 11 (2023). Also coming strategic projects and a second procedure coming for standard projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	2.1.1	Number of implemented actions towards the decrease of annual primary energy consumption in existing public buildings	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	2.1.1	Number of implemented actions towards the decrease of annual primary energy	0,00	0,00	0,00

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
		consumption in existing public buildings			
F	2.1.2	Pilot implementation of innovative services for smart low carbon mobility	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	2.1.2	Pilot implementation of innovative services for smart low carbon mobility	0,00	0,00	0,00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	2 - Cooperating for implementation of low carbon strategies and action plans
Investment priority	4e - Promoting low-carbon strategies for all types of territories, in particular for urban areas, including the promotion of sustainable multimodal urban mobility and mitigation-relevant adaptation measures
Specific objective	2.1 - Promotion of implementation of strategies and action plans to promote energy efficiency and to improve territorial capacities for joint low-carbon mobility planning.

Table 1: Result indicators

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.1	Level of capacities of municipalities in decreasing energy use	Municipalities in SEAP	43.00	2014	47.00	43.00		

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.1	Level of capacities of municipalities in decreasing energy use	43.00		43.00		43.00	

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visits/year	20,000.00	0,00	
S	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visits/year	20,000.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen by the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects and 2 ITI projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 800 (2018, by standard projects only) and 8000 (of which 6000 refer to standard projects and 2000 to ITI projects). Also coming strategic projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator. Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard calls in order to correct the eventual gap..
F	3.1.1	Number of investments implemented or services/products created supporting preservation/restoration of natural and cultural heritage	Number	30.00	0,00	
S	3.1.1	Number of investments implemented or services/products created supporting preservation/restoration of natural and cultural heritage	Number	30.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 6.00 (2018) and 22 (2023), of which 19 refer to standard projects and 3 refer to ITI projects. Also coming strategic projects and a second procedure coming for standard projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator.
F	3.1.2	Km bicycle path/lane completed	Km	12.00	0,00	
S	3.1.2	Km bicycle path/lane completed	Km	12.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 2 ITI projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 2 km (2018) and 12 (2023)

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	3.1.1	Number of investments implemented or services/products created supporting preservation/restoration of natural and cultural heritage	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	3.1.1	Number of investments implemented or services/products created supporting preservation/restoration of natural and cultural heritage	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	3.1.2	Km bicycle path/lane completed	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	3.1.2	Km bicycle path/lane completed	0,00	0,00	0,00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	3.1 - Conserving, protecting, restoring, and developing natural and cultural heritage

Table 1: Result indicators

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.1	Level of Cross-border cooperation in the sustainable valorization of cultural and natural heritage	Number of visitors	4,012,237.00	2014	5,793,754.00	4,012,237.00		

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.1	Level of Cross-border cooperation in the sustainable valorization of cultural and natural heritage	4,012,237.00		4,012,237.00		4,012,237.00	

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	CO23	Nature and biodiversity: Surface area of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status	Hectares	6,000.00	0,00	
S	CO23	Nature and biodiversity: Surface area of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status	Hectares	6,000.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 40 (2018) and 3668 (2023). Also coming strategic projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator. Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard calls in order to correct the eventual gap.
F	3.2.1	Tools and services developed for assessing and promoting ecosystem services	Number	7.00	0,00	
S	3.2.1	Tools and services developed for assessing and promoting ecosystem services	Number	7.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 1 (2018) and 5 (2023). Also coming strategic projects and a second procedure coming for standard projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator.
F	3.2.2	Cross-border pilot actions to support biodiversity	Number	48.00	0,00	
S	3.2.2	Cross-border pilot actions to support biodiversity	Number	48.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 2 (2018) and 9 (2023). Also coming strategic projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator. Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard calls in order to correct the eventual gap.
F	3.2.3	Participants to educational and divulgative events	Number	8,500.00	0,00	
S	3.2.3	Participants to educational and divulgative	Number	8,500.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
		events				MC) is respectively 1170 (2018) and 2340 (2023). Also coming strategic projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator. Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard calls in order to correct the eventual gap.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	CO23	Nature and biodiversity: Surface area of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	CO23	Nature and biodiversity: Surface area of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	3.2.1	Tools and services developed for assessing and promoting ecosystem services	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	3.2.1	Tools and services developed for assessing and promoting ecosystem services	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	3.2.2	Cross-border pilot actions to support biodiversity	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	3.2.2	Cross-border pilot actions to support biodiversity	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	3.2.3	Participants to educational and divulgative events	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	3.2.3	Participants to educational and divulgative events	0,00	0,00	0,00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	3.2 - Enhance the integrated management of ecosystems for a sustainable development of the territory

Table 1: Result indicators

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.2.A	Level of preservation of status of habitats	Status of conservation (Habitats)	1,986.00	2014	1,999.00	1,986.00		
3.2.B	Level of preservation of status of species	Status of conservation (Species)	1,851.00	2014	1,869.00	1,851.00		

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.2.A	Level of preservation of status of habitats	1,986.00		1,986.00		1,986.00	
3.2.B	Level of preservation of status of species	1,851.00		1,851.00		1,851.00	

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6f - Promoting innovative technologies to improve environmental protection and resource efficiency in the waste sector, water sector and with regard to soil, or to reduce air pollution

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	Persons	1,111.00	0,00	
S	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	Persons	1,111.00	0,00	None of the selected operations so far (selected operations intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) foresaw this indicator. Also coming strategic projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator. Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard calls in order to correct the eventual gap.
F	3.3.1	Number of innovative green technologies tested and implemented	Number	13.00	0,00	
S	3.3.1	Number of innovative green technologies tested and implemented	Number	13.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 0 (2018) and 3 (2023). Also coming strategic projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator. Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard calls in order to correct the eventual gap..
F	3.3.2	Number of enterprises applying new green innovation solutions	Number	7.00	0,00	
S	3.3.2	Number of enterprises applying new green innovation solutions	Number	7.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 2 (2018) and 4 (2023). Also coming strategic projects and a second procedure coming for standard projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	3.3.1	Number of innovative green technologies tested and implemented	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	3.3.1	Number of innovative green technologies tested and implemented	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	3.3.2	Number of enterprises applying new green innovation solutions	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	3.3.2	Number of enterprises applying new green innovation solutions	0,00	0,00	0,00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6f - Promoting innovative technologies to improve environmental protection and resource efficiency in the waste sector, water sector and with regard to soil, or to reduce air pollution
Specific objective	3.3 - Development and the testing of innovative environmental friendly technologies for the improvement of waste and water management

Table 1: Result indicators

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.3	Level of cross-border application of green technologies or processes	Total number of application	5.76	2014	6.09	5.76		See Annex IX to the Cooperation Programme as justification for the choice of the baseline value during programming phase.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.3	Level of cross-border application of green technologies or processes	5.76		5.76		5.76	

Priority axis	4 - Enhancing capacity building and cross-border governance
Investment priority	11b - Promoting legal and administrative cooperation and cooperation between citizens and institutions (ETC-CB)

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	4,1,2	Joint solutions increasing integration, coherence, harmonization of the Programme area governance (shared politics, legislative frameworks or regulations, joint strategic documents, e-government tools, etc.)	Number	11.00	0,00	
S	4,1,2	Joint solutions increasing integration, coherence, harmonization of the Programme area governance (shared politics, legislative frameworks or regulations, joint strategic documents, e-government tools, etc.)	Number	11.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects and 2 ITI projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 11 (2018) and 22 (2023), of which 16 refer to standard projects and 6 refer to ITI projects.
F	4.1.4	Number of cross-border medical-social teams full-formed and operational	Number	5.00	0,00	
S	4.1.4	Number of cross-border medical-social teams full-formed and operational	Number	5.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 2 ITI projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 0 (2018) and 5 (2023).
F	4.1.1	Cross-border agreement and protocols signed	Number	10.00	0,00	
S	4.1.1	Cross-border agreement and protocols signed	Number	10.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects and 2 ITI projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 0 (2018) and 10 (2023), of which 5 refer to standard projects and 5 refer to ITI projects.
F	4.1.3	Number of beneficiaries participating in joint training schemes	Number	400.00	0,00	
S	4.1.3	Number of beneficiaries participating in joint training schemes	Number	400.00	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 429.00 (2018), and 1119 (2023).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	4.1.2	Joint solutions increasing integration, coherence, harmonization of the Programme area governance (shared politics, legislative frameworks or regulations, joint strategic documents, e-government tools, etc.)	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	4.1.2	Joint solutions increasing integration, coherence, harmonization of the Programme area governance (shared politics, legislative frameworks or regulations, joint strategic documents, e-government tools, etc.)	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	4.1.4	Number of cross-border medical-social teams full-formed and operational	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	4.1.4	Number of cross-border medical-social teams full-formed and operational	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	4.1.1	Cross-border agreement and protocols signed	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	4.1.1	Cross-border agreement and protocols signed	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	4.1.3	Number of beneficiaries participating in joint training schemes	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	4.1.3	Number of beneficiaries participating in joint training schemes	0,00	0,00	0,00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	4 - Enhancing capacity building and cross-border governance
Investment priority	11b - Promoting legal and administrative cooperation and cooperation between citizens and institutions (ETC-CB)
Specific objective	4.1 - Strengthen the institutional cooperation capacity through mobilizing public authorities and key actors of the Programme area for planning joint solutions to common challenges

Table 1: Result indicators

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
4.1	Capacity of public authorities and stakeholders in cross-border cooperation and governance	Scores	0.78	2016	0.86	0.78		The baseline of the result indicator 4.1 was modified (Approval of modified CP by the EC Decision No. C(2017)6247 of September 14th, 2017).

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.1	Capacity of public authorities and stakeholders in cross-border cooperation and governance	0.78					

Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	5.1.1	Number of projects committed and finished	Number	42.00	0,00	
S	5.1.1	Number of projects committed and finished	Number	42.00	0,00	The MC approved for financing 2 ITI projects and 27 standard project (total: 29 approved, financed and committed projects). The output indicator 5.1.1 was modified by the last version of the Cooperation Programme (Decision No. C(2017)6247 of September 14th, 2017)
F	5.1.2	Number of major publicity events for beneficiaries and applicants	Number	6.00	1.00	The MA joined the EC day initiative by supporting the organisation and participating in the thematic conference in Rome (21.09.17), which is intended as the Programme major event for 2017.
S	5.1.2	Number of major publicity events for beneficiaries and applicants	Number	6.00	1.00	
F	5.1.3	Number of employees (FTEs) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	Number	7.00	10,00	The figure is higher than the target because more personnel has been recruited by Slovene Structures.
S	5.1.3	Number of employees (FTEs) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	Number	7.00	10,00	The figure is higher than the target because more personnel has been recruited by Slovene Structures

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	5.1.1	Number of projects committed and finished	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	5.1.1	Number of projects committed and finished	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	5.1.2	Number of major publicity events for beneficiaries and applicants	0,00	0,00	0,00
S	5.1.2	Number of major publicity events for	0,00	0,00	0,00

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
		beneficiaries and applicants			
F	5.1.3	Number of employees (FTEs) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	10,00	0,00	0,00
S	5.1.3	Number of employees (FTEs) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	10,00	0,00	0,00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

ID	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final Target (2023) Total	2017	Observations
1	F	F1	Payment certified and declared to the EC (Priority Axis 1)	EUR	2.594.680,41	22,003,752.00	0,00	
1	O	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	organizations	5	27	24	The figure represents the number of research institutions partner of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) and is the figure adjusted during face-to-face meeting held during summer 2017 with the LP before signature of the Subsidy contract. Also coming strategic projects and a second procedure coming for standard projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator.
2	F	F2	Payment certified and declared to the EC (Priority Axis 2)	EUR	1.356.969,35	13,752,345.00	0,00	
2	O	2.1.1	Number of implemented actions towards the decrease of annual primary energy consumption in existing public buildings	number	4	25	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen by the Subsidy contract of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 0 (2018) and 6 (2023) . Also coming strategic projects (to start their implementation within 2018) will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator. Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard calls in order to correct the eventual gap.
3	F	F3	Payment certified and declared to the EC (Priority Axis 3)	EUR	3.342.732,47	32,088,805.00	0,00	
3	O	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visit/year	5000	20,000	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen by the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects and 2 ITI projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 800 (2018, by standard projects only) and 8000 (of which 6000 refer to standard projects and 2000 to ITI projects) . Also coming strategic projects will give their contribution to the fulfillment of this indicator. Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard calls in order to correct the eventual gap.
4	F	F4	Payment certified and declared to the EC (Priority Axis 4)	EUR	2.456.351,35	18,336,460.00	0,00	

4	O	4.1.2	Joint solutions increasing integration, coherence, harmonization of the Programme area governance (shared politics, legislative frameworks or regulations, joint strategic documents, e-government tools, etc.)	number	2	11	0,00	The forecast for 2018 and for 2023 foreseen in the Subsidy contracts of selected operations (intended as 27 standard projects and 2 ITI projects approved for financing by MC) is respectively 11 (2018) and 22 (2023), of which 16 refer to standard projects and 6 refer to ITI projects.
---	---	-------	---	--------	---	----	------	---

Ind type	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	F1	Payment certified and declared to the EC (Priority Axis 1)	0,00	0,00	0,00
O	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	F2	Payment certified and declared to the EC (Priority Axis 2)	0,00	0,00	0,00
O	2.1.1	Number of implemented actions towards the decrease of annual primary energy consumption in existing public buildings	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	F3	Payment certified and declared to the EC (Priority Axis 3)	0,00	0,00	0,00
O	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	0,00	0,00	0,00
F	F4	Payment certified and declared to the EC (Priority Axis 4)	0,00	0,00	0,00
O	4.1.2	Joint solutions increasing integration, coherence,	0,00	0,00	0,00

Ind type	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
		harmonization of the Programme area governance (shared politics, legislative frameworks or regulations, joint strategic documents, e-government tools, etc.)			

3.4. Financial data

Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	Total	22,003,752.00	85.00	9,755,646.57	44.34%	9,257,428.28	0.00	0.00%	51
2	ERDF	Total	13,752,345.00	85.00	6,338,890.95	46.09%	6,266,219.55	0.00	0.00%	28
3	ERDF	Total	32,088,805.00	85.00	13,148,643.44	40.98%	12,778,922.07	0.00	0.00%	38
4	ERDF	Total	18,336,460.00	85.00	11,717,502.79	63.90%	11,440,310.80	0.00	0.00%	41
5	ERDF	Total	6,406,820.00	72.98	3,406,820.00	53.17%	3,406,820.00	365,250.01	5.70%	1
Total	ERDF		92,588,182.00	84.17	44,367,503.75	47.92%	43,149,700.70	365,250.01	0.39%	159
Grand total			92,588,182.00	84.17	44,367,503.75	47.92%	43,149,700.70	365,250.01	0.39%	159

Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)

--

Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		19	SI021	292,357.50	292,357.50	0.00	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		20	ITH35	107,627.30	107,627.30	0.00	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		20	ITH42	294,094.15	294,094.15	0.00	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	ITH44	872,904.79	872,904.79	0.00	4
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	598,918.75	578,768.13	0.00	4
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	SI024	153,130.00	130,160.50	0.00	1
1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01		07	ITH35	167,130.00	142,060.50	0.00	1
1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01		19	SI021	115,899.54	98,514.60	0.00	1
1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01		24	ITH44	493,417.01	468,322.39	0.00	2
1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	467,255.67	445,751.09	0.00	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		07	ITH42	194,615.00	165,422.75	0.00	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		13	ITH44	50,075.00	42,563.75	0.00	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		13	SI021	109,865.00	93,385.25	0.00	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		19	ITH35	408,982.50	408,982.50	0.00	2

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		19	ITH44	380,140.00	380,140.00	0.00	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		19	SI021	138,650.00	138,650.00	0.00	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		19	SI024	427,222.72	427,222.72	0.00	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		20	ITH42	262,007.50	262,007.50	0.00	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		20	SI024	167,400.00	167,400.00	0.00	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	ITH42	415,295.00	415,295.00	0.00	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	ITH44	632,492.51	554,099.13	0.00	4
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	1,633,102.28	1,511,583.16	0.00	7
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	SI023	231,975.00	197,178.75	0.00	1
1	ERDF	082	01	07	07	01		03	SI024	60,390.00	51,331.50	0.00	1
1	ERDF	082	01	07	07	01		13	ITH44	471,275.00	438,126.50	0.00	2
1	ERDF	082	01	07	07	01		19	SI024	250,877.50	250,877.50	0.00	1
1	ERDF	082	01	07	07	01		24	ITH43	61,746.00	52,484.10	0.00	1
1	ERDF	082	01	07	07	01		24	ITH44	118,910.00	118,910.00	0.00	1
1	ERDF	082	01	07	07	01		24	SI024	177,890.85	151,207.22	0.00	1
2	ERDF	013	01	07	07	04		10	SI023	128,500.00	128,500.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	013	01	07	07	04		18	ITH35	243,800.00	243,800.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	013	01	07	07	04		18	ITH43	397,542.92	397,542.92	0.00	2
2	ERDF	013	01	07	07	04		18	SI023	450,000.00	450,000.00	0.00	2

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
2	ERDF	013	01	07	07	04		18	SI024	362,000.00	362,000.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	013	01	07	07	04		24	ITH44	873,801.00	822,035.85	0.00	3
2	ERDF	013	01	07	07	04		24	SI023	202,680.00	202,680.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		15	SI024	150,380.75	150,380.75	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		18	ITH35	208,225.00	208,225.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		18	ITH41	200,000.00	200,000.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		18	ITH42	228,850.00	228,850.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		18	ITH44	82,510.00	82,510.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		18	SI024	542,085.00	542,085.00	0.00	2
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		19	ITH44	450,773.58	450,773.58	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		19	SI021	246,975.00	246,975.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		21	ITH43	139,375.00	118,468.75	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		24	SI021	227,300.00	227,300.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	044	01	07	07	04		24	SI023	199,575.00	199,575.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	090	01	07	07	04		18	ITH43	198,250.00	198,250.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	090	01	07	07	04		18	SI024	237,421.20	237,421.20	0.00	1
2	ERDF	090	01	07	07	04		24	ITH35	206,138.00	206,138.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	090	01	07	07	04		24	SI023	144,328.50	144,328.50	0.00	1
2	ERDF	090	01	07	07	04		24	SI024	218,380.00	218,380.00	0.00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
3	ERDF	019	01	07	07	06		06	ITH44	285,512.50	242,685.62	0.00	1
3	ERDF	019	01	07	07	06		08	ITH41	647,290.00	550,196.50	0.00	1
3	ERDF	019	01	07	07	06		08	SI021	205,807.50	205,807.50	0.00	1
3	ERDF	019	01	07	07	06		24	ITH41	197,670.00	197,670.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	019	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	158,705.00	134,899.25	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	01	03	06		24	ITH43	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		01	SI024	116,268.92	98,828.59	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		11	ITH43	958,170.00	958,170.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		11	SI023	309,099.00	309,099.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		18	ITH41	79,950.00	79,950.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		19	ITH35	254,010.13	254,010.13	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		19	SI021	153,382.15	153,382.15	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		19	SI023	172,365.00	172,365.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		22	ITH44	155,365.24	132,060.46	0.00	1
3	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		19	ITH42	233,080.00	233,080.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		19	SI022	150,330.00	150,330.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		22	ITH41	214,350.00	214,350.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		22	ITH42	322,072.50	322,072.50	0.00	1
3	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		22	SI021	262,555.00	262,555.00	0.00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
3	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		22	SI022	100,008.00	100,008.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		01	SI021	248,585.00	248,585.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		15	ITH35	148,890.00	126,556.50	0.00	1
3	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		18	SI024	238,500.00	238,500.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		19	ITH42	178,104.00	178,104.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		19	ITH44	176,030.00	176,030.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		19	SI023	86,720.00	86,720.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		14	SI024	188,770.00	160,454.50	0.00	1
3	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		18	SI024	82,820.00	82,820.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		19	ITH35	198,480.00	168,708.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		19	ITH41	63,047.50	53,590.37	0.00	1
3	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		21	ITH35	111,130.00	94,460.50	0.00	1
3	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	SI024	164,650.00	139,952.50	0.00	1
3	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		18	SI024	347,969.00	347,969.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		19	ITH36	86,925.00	86,925.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		19	ITH44	253,858.00	253,858.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	ITH44	212,059.00	212,059.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	159,415.00	159,415.00	0.00	1
3	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	SI024	226,700.00	192,695.00	0.00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
4	ERDF	053	01	01	03	11		24	ITH43	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	053	01	07	07	11		19	ITH44	412,333.10	412,333.10	0.00	2
4	ERDF	053	01	07	07	11		19	SI023	89,750.00	89,750.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	053	01	07	07	11		19	SI024	140,473.00	140,473.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	053	01	07	07	11		20	ITH35	82,950.00	82,950.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	053	01	07	07	11		20	SI024	82,900.00	82,900.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		19	ITH35	248,146.85	225,924.82	0.00	2
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		19	ITH44	371,322.85	371,322.85	0.00	2
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		19	SI021	132,675.10	132,675.10	0.00	1
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		19	SI023	230,627.00	230,627.00	0.00	2
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		19	SI024	219,936.41	219,936.41	0.00	2
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		20	ITH44	127,014.44	127,014.44	0.00	1
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		20	SI018	94,620.00	94,620.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		24	ITH42	170,500.00	144,925.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		24	ITH44	466,105.40	396,189.59	0.00	2
4	ERDF	055	01	07	07	11		24	SI021	231,873.35	212,092.35	0.00	2
4	ERDF	081	01	07	07	11		21	ITH35	139,914.20	139,914.20	0.00	1
4	ERDF	081	01	07	07	11		21	ITH41	355,821.00	302,447.85	0.00	1
4	ERDF	081	01	07	07	11		21	ITH44	255,114.50	255,114.50	0.00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
4	ERDF	081	01	07	07	11		21	SI021	155,500.00	132,175.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	081	01	07	07	11		21	SI024	169,135.55	169,135.55	0.00	1
4	ERDF	081	01	07	07	11		24	SI021	120,875.01	120,875.01	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		12	SI024	229,025.00	229,025.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		13	ITH44	161,547.52	161,547.52	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		13	SI021	300,000.00	255,000.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		18	ITH35	248,400.00	248,400.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		18	ITH44	302,400.00	302,400.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		18	SI021	99,920.00	99,920.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		19	ITH44	318,800.00	318,800.00	0.00	2
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		19	SI024	203,400.00	203,400.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		24	ITH35	120,000.01	102,000.01	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		24	ITH44	133,650.00	133,650.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	087	01	07	07	11		24	SI021	302,772.50	302,772.50	0.00	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	ITH44	3,406,820.00	3,406,820.00	365,250.01	1

Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
-	0.00		0.00	

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

In accordance with Articles 56 and 114 of the Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 the Evaluation Plan has been further discussed, amended and finalised through its submission to the 3rd Monitoring Committee and was finally approved through the Written Procedure n.7 (06/04/2017).

The Integrated Evaluation Plan was approved during the 4th MC (25th May 2017).

In order to have relevant and useful data and on the basis of the state of the art of the Programme, the MA proposed to the MC to approve an extension of terms for the delivery of the first Evaluation Report, initially due on 30 May. The term is extended to 14th July 2017.

In July the MA rejected the First Extensive Evaluation Report delivered by the external evaluator asking for revisions in order to improve its contents. The MA asked for a general improvement and reorganisation of the product, in particular addressing the focus on: 1. an analysis of the set of indicators in view of the mid-term 2018, 2. an evaluation of the MC's decision on allocation of residual funds out of the first standard calls through an analysis of ranked standard projects which were not financed in order to eventually give an indication to the MC for its future choices on residual funds allocation, 3. an integration on progress made during 2017 on strategic projects procedure, 4. a reflection on the degree of efficiency of the ITI instrument and on its added value to the Programme. In general, the Report is intended to be a useful instrument for future Programme implementation on the basis of all Programme documents and contributions shared in 4PM platform.

The improved (second) version of the First Extensive Evaluation Report issued on 6th November 2017 was put at discussion within the Working Group on Evaluation (established during the 4th MC meeting in May).

In December, the WG members proposed a list of changes to modify the report.

Mainly the WG asked to improve the part on methodological approach, to add an evaluation on e-system in light of the INTERACT HIT tools and a reference to the strategic calls, to be clearer on the understanding of indicators and on the management of the programme. A synthesis of the evaluator's recommendations shall be added.

The first WG meeting is postponed in the first months of 2018 for the requested improvement of the Report.

The approval of the First Evaluation Report is postponed to 2018.

5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

The main **challenges** for the Programme in 2017 were:

1. to establish an efficient working method between management structures and Programme partners as a basis for elaborating complete documentations to facilitate the agreement and increase the level of trust and cooperation among programme partners and within the Monitoring Committee;
2. to make the decision making process smoother and faster (in particular, with regard to the standard projects assessment process);
3. The online Monitoring tool on line FEG (Front-End Generalizzato) for submission of project proposals (except for ITI projects), inspired by INTERACT tool, was created in order to reduce administrative burden for the beneficiaries. The system faced some technical challenges in the implementation phase. In consideration of these challenges, a new online tool FEG2 is going to be developed for the submission of strategic projects. The FEG tool is going to be developed also in its part serving for the submission of periodic reporting for financed projects (its release is foreseen in 2018). The process for FEG-FEG2 implementation was long and challenging. Moreover, also a new informative system (GGP-Monit) is going to be developed for storage and monitoring of all projects data to be used as a back office by the Programme Authorities/Structures (including the FLCs);
4. As concerns the call for strategic projects, the identification of the strategic themes and consensus on the general content of the call requested a long process and discussion within the Working groups, among Programme partners and within MC meetings;
5. A challenge on ITI projects was faced regarding the in depth description of the procedure for the First Level Control (FLC) on the ITI projects, implemented under the “sole beneficiary” principle, stating the responsibility of IT FLC over the two ITI projects, implemented by EGTC GO. The “consultation procedure” between the Italian FLC Office and the Slovenian FLC Office provided in the “ITI Management and Control System” (ITI SIGECO) is a way of support to the responsible IT FLC during its performance of controlling.
6. Another challenge faced by the MA was the setting up of a check list for administrative checks and on-spot-controls, to be used by both FLC Offices, as a common check list for standard, strategic and ITI projects, notwithstanding each FLC has its own more specific check list.
7. The above described challenges may affect the performance indicators’ achievement and the financial targets(ref. table 3, section 3.3). Up to now the situation is not at risk regarding PA 1, 2 and 4. Regarding PA 3 the performance framework situation is monitored and will be analysed in detail during 2018.

In 2017 the following **measures** were adopted to foster the implementation process and to overcome the difficulties experienced by the Programme:

1. to facilitate the agreement and increase the level of trust and cooperation among programme partners; the following working method proved to be effective:
 - a day-by-day working method managed by the bilingual staff of the JS: performing meetings and email exchanges with Member State Delegations whenever needed and especially in the drafting process of documents;

- increased transparency: huge exchanges and operative involvement of both delegations, driven by the MA and JS before coming to the formalisation of decisions.
2. Decision making process: apart what highlighted in point 1, with specific reference to the projects assessment process and in order to make the decision making smoother and more efficient, the assessment procedure and manual for the strategic projects was simplified and changed on the basis of the experience on the first calls on standard projects;
 3. Online system: the FEG system Front-End Generalizzato for submission of standard project proposals was modified and improved for submission of strategic projects (FEG2). Numerous meetings were organized with the in house IT society Insiel together with MA, JS and external technical assistance experts in order to avoid bottlenecks encountered with the tool for the submission of standard projects and to choose the best options in line with the requirements of the Regulations for the new tool for strategic projects submission, the creation of the FEG for the periodic reporting for financed projects and the GGP-Monit as back office tool for storage and monitoring;
 4. Strategic projects: although the process of identification of themes and initial part of process had been rather long, due to the involvement of all parties, the definition and finalisation of application package took rather short time thanks to a shared method of work, involving programme partners together with managing structures in the operative finalisation of Application Package and its translation highlighting a high degree of cooperation;
 5. Some measures were taken in order to solve the challenge. After one formal meeting (October 13th), convened by the MA, and a long and complex email exchange, the agreement was reached on a “light version” of the “Guidelines for the ‘consultation’ procedure - Management verifications of ITI projects” (December 15th).
 6. The process to set up of a common check list for FLC’s checks started in the second half of 2017 and continued in 2018;
 7. Performance indicators’ and financial targets achievement: According to section 3.3, Table 3 it has to be taken into account that the Programme in 2018 is willing to launch two further calls, one for strategic projects and a residual on standard projects. Especially this latter will be designed in a focused way in order to take into account the gap analysis on indicators and performance framework. This work is managed according to a team-building-approach among Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee. As for **milestones 2018**, table 3 gives a forecast which takes into account only the 27 standard projects and the 2 ITI projects provided that in 2018 also strategic projects will hopefully start their activities and give their contribution of performance. In this respect, the milestones 2018 seem to be overcome for PA 1 and 4. PA 2 will count on the contribution by strategic projects; PA 3 is at risk as for 2018 milestone however, in order to recover this gap, Programme structures and the Monitoring Committee shall work in a focused way on the last standard call.

(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

--

6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

**7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS
(ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

8.1. Major projects

Table 7: Major projects

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority Axis/ Investment priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

--

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

--

8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

--

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)

9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axis	1 - Promoting innovation capacities for a more competitive area
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	2 - Cooperating for implementation of low carbon strategies and action plans
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting natural and cultural resources
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	4 - Enhancing capacity building and cross-border governance
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

--

9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

--

9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

--

9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

--

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

--

10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013

10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations

--

10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

--

11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme

--

11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF

--

11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

The Programme area takes part to two macro-regional strategies: the EU Strategy for Adriatic-Ionian Region (EUSAIR) and the EU strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP), moreover the Danubian Strategy (EUSDR) affects the Programme in the Slovenian side of the Programme area (ref. section 4 of the Cooperation Programme).

In the Application Form the applicant must indicate the Strategy (EUSALP/EUSAIR) relevant for the project and describe the project contribution in the achievement of Strategy objectives.

A specific projects selection criterion both for standard and strategic projects ("The project clearly contributes to EU macro-regional strategies") is foreseen to assess the synergy of project proposals with the above mentioned macrostrategies.

Programme Specific Objectives have a link to EUSAIR pillars. In particular, EUSAIR Pillar 1 (topic 1.1.3. Maritime Governance) is reflected in Programme OS 4.1./PI 11ETC; Pillar2 (topic 2.1.1. Maritime transport; 2.1.2. intermodal connection, 2.1.3. energy network) is reflected in OS 2.1./PI 4e; Pillar 3 (topic 3.1.1. Marine environment, 3.1.2. Terrestrial biodiversity) is reflected in Programme OS 3.2/IP 6d and 3.3/IP 6f; Pillar 4 (topic 4.1.1. tourist offer, 4.1.2. sustainable tourist management) is reflected in Programme OS 3.1./PI 6c.

Contacts between the Programme and EUSALP are the following: OS 1.1. with Area 1 (economic growth and innovation); OS 2.1 and 4.1 with Area 2 (mobility and connectivity) and Area 3 (environment and energy).

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation

--

13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

--

14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

--

Documents

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
Annex I: Citizens' summary	Citizens' summary	12-Mar-2018					

Latest validation results

Severity	Code	Message
-----------------	-------------	----------------